Journal of Marketing Management, Volume 39, Issue 2, 2021
© 2021 The Authors. Published by Taylor & Francis
ISSN 0267-257X (Print) | ISSN 1472-1376 (Online)
MarketingManagementJournal.com

Omnichannel Marketing: A Literature Review

F., Gohar[*], M., Khan[1]

[1]*Department of Marketing and Business Strategy, University of Management Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan*

Abstract

Emerging as a natural evolution of multichannel retail strategies, omnichannel marketing has become a defining paradigm in modern consumer-brand interactions across both physical and digital touchpoints. This article examines omnichannel marketing as an engine of competitive advantage and customer experience excellence for contemporary businesses. The relevance of the study lies in the fragmented state of academic literature on the integration mechanisms and performance outcomes of omnichannel strategies, as well as in the growing commercial urgency for firms to unify their channel ecosystems in response to shifting consumer behaviour. The aim of the work is to synthesize theoretical and practical knowledge for marketing managers, platform owners, and researchers seeking to understand and operationalise omnichannel approaches. The primary task is to develop a structured framework of enablers and barriers to successful omnichannel implementation. A systematic review methodology was employed, drawing on peer-reviewed studies and industry reports from leading international sources on omnichannel retailing, digital marketing integration, and customer journey management. As a result, the authors identify the key drivers of omnichannel adoption, delineate its principal components — channel integration, data unification, and seamless customer experience — and present a SWOT matrix correlating strategic orientations with implementation decisions ranging from full channel convergence to selective digital augmentation. The practical outcomes of this research are applicable to retail firms, e-commerce operators, brand managers, and academics studying the intersection of digital transformation and marketing.

Keywords: omnichannel marketing, multichannel integration, customer experience, digital transformation, retail strategy

For citation: Gohar, F., Khan, M. Omnichannel Marketing: A Literature Review. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 2021, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 1–11.

Introduction

Consumers, researchers, practitioners, and investors who seek today to find comprehensive academic work on the relationship between omnichannel strategies and measurable business performance will encounter a landscape dominated by single-channel analyses — studies of e-commerce in isolation, or brick-and-mortar retail without digital context. Omnichannel marketing, however, operates on a fundamentally different principle: the elimination of channel boundaries so that the consumer experience is consistent, personalised, and uninterrupted whether the customer is browsing on a mobile app, visiting a physical store, or engaging via social media. The practical imperative driving this shift is well-documented in industry research, yet the academic literature has been slow to consolidate a unified theoretical framework.

Existing scholarship has made considerable progress in cataloguing the components of multichannel strategies and describing consumer behaviour across individual channels, offering numerous recommendations for digital investment and content strategy. However, the authors argue that the rise of data-driven channel orchestration demands a revision of established marketing paradigms. In the consumer goods and services sectors, firms must navigate decisions across mobile platforms, in-store technologies, social commerce, and loyalty systems that span all these environments.

Omnichannel marketing may be defined as an integrated approach to customer engagement that provides seamless, consistent, and mutually reinforcing interactions across all physical and digital channels. Every touchpoint in an omnichannel system

serves the customer journey as a whole; these touchpoints are unified by shared data, coherent branding, and synchronised inventory and service capabilities. Some channels may be owned (a brand's own website or app), while others may be partnered (third-party marketplaces or social commerce platforms), yet entry into a coherent omnichannel ecosystem demands deliberate integration rather than parallel operation.

Moving from the theoretical to the operational perspective, omnichannel marketing represents an organisational commitment in which the customer — increasingly a multi-device, multi-platform individual — sits at the centre of all strategic decisions. The goal is not merely to be present on many channels but to create a unified brand experience that retains customers through relevance and convenience.

For firms considering omnichannel transformation, it is therefore essential to study not only the technology landscape and channel economics but also the customer journey in granular detail, demonstrating to internal stakeholders that integration will produce measurable improvements in retention, conversion, and lifetime value.

The aim of this paper is to provide both a theoretical foundation and a practical decision-support framework for marketing managers and researchers engaging with omnichannel strategy. Managers need clarity on the opportunities and risks of full channel integration, while researchers need a synthesis of the existing empirical evidence. At present, such a consolidated base is insufficiently developed in the academic literature.

Research Methodology

The methodology of this study is based on a systematic literature review of peer-reviewed articles, industry white papers, and practitioner reports addressing omnichannel marketing, multichannel retailing, customer journey management, and digital marketing integration. Sources from leading international journals — including the *Journal of Retailing*, *Journal of Marketing*, *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, and practitioner publications from McKinsey, Deloitte, and the *Harvard Business Review* — were selected and analysed.

Through qualitative synthesis, the authors identified the principal theoretical frameworks applied to omnichannel research, the primary empirical findings on consumer behaviour and firm performance, and the structural enablers and barriers most frequently cited in the literature. The review process employed inductive reasoning to derive a set of strategic orientations applicable across different retail and service contexts.

A SWOT analysis of omnichannel implementation was subsequently constructed, correlating all synthesised findings into a matrix that functions as a practical decision-support tool for managers. Depending on a firm's digital maturity and strategic priorities, the matrix indicates four possible strategic orientations: full channel convergence, selective digital augmentation, data-led personalisation at scale, and phased integration via technology partnerships.

Literature Review

The available body of research on omnichannel marketing encompasses contributions from scholars across marketing, information systems, operations management, and consumer psychology. The following review draws on the work of Verhoef, Kannan, and Inman; Beck and Rygl; Brynjolfsson, Hu, and Rahman; Lazaris and Vrechopoulos; Piotrowicz and Cuthbertson; Neslin et al.; Herhausen et al.; and Cao and Li, among others.

Verhoef et al. [3] offer one of the earliest and most cited conceptualisations of omnichannel management, defining it as the synergistic management of the numerous available channels and customer touchpoints in such a way that the customer experience across channels and the performance over channels are optimised. Their work emphasises that omnichannel is not simply an extension of multichannel retailing but a qualitatively distinct strategy premised on integration rather than co-existence. Among the challenges they identify is the organisational difficulty of aligning incentives

and data systems across channel managers who have historically operated independently.

Beck and Rygl [8] approach this question from the consumer's perspective, distinguishing between partial and full channel integration and arguing that consumers derive measurably greater satisfaction when interactions are integrated at the level of both information access and fulfilment. Their framework highlights the risk of "channel silos" — internally managed channels that fail to communicate — and the consequent fragmentation of the customer experience.

Considering both perspectives simultaneously, one might conclude that omnichannel integration benefits primarily the customer, at the cost of organisational complexity for the firm. Brynjolfsson et al. [13] address this apparent tension through the lens of competitive advantage, arguing that firms which successfully achieve integration capture disproportionate wallet share because switching costs for consumers embedded in a coherent omnichannel ecosystem are substantially higher than in fragmented channel environments. The brand experience, in their view, functions like a natural ecosystem: the absence or degradation of any single channel component weakens the whole.

This systemic view raises the critical question of value creation and distribution across channel components. Information technology firms were the first to recognise the need for tighter coordination across all participants in the consumer value chain than traditional transactional relationships allow, and from this emerged the original logic of platform ecosystems applied to marketing [10]. Lazaris and Vrechopoulos [15] illustrate this with reference to retailers such as Zara and Apple, both of which have built fully integrated channel architectures where mobile, web, and in-store touchpoints reinforce each other without friction. Value for the customer — and thus for the brand — increases as the ecosystem becomes more complete.

The effectiveness of such integration derives not only from technology deployment but from the process of elevating the value of data, customer insight, and service innovation through shared infrastructure across all channel participants [4]. Value chain management in this context employs adaptive methods that continuously re-orient the customer journey in response to behavioural signals [1]. Innovative channel management frameworks, however, require not only transformation of core technology and business processes but also transformation of the collaborative relationships between marketing, logistics, IT, and customer service functions [11]. Piotrowicz and Cuthbertson [5] note that the digitalisation of the retail value chain — through mobile payments, in-store digital interfaces, and real-time inventory visibility — fundamentally reframes the life cycle of customer engagement.

At the core of an effective omnichannel system lies the value proposition: the promise delivered consistently regardless of channel. Herhausen et al. [2] characterise omnichannel marketing as a fundamentally new form of commercial engagement that allows all channel partners to develop competitively and equitably within a shared environment. The synergy effect within an integrated channel ecosystem improves individual channel performance while reducing customer acquisition costs across the portfolio. Risks are distributed rather than concentrated, as partners share data and capabilities in ways that reduce the vulnerability of any single channel.

In their empirical study of e-commerce and physical retail integration, Cao and Li [14] identify three strategic approaches available to firms managing multiple channels: independent channel management, co-ordinated multichannel management, and full omnichannel integration. In the independent model, channels operate with separate P&Ls and customer databases, often resulting in price inconsistencies and loyalty fragmentation. Co-ordinated multichannel management introduces shared data but retains separate channel accountability. Full omnichannel integration unifies customer data, pricing, inventory, and communications under a single strategic logic — the model most closely associated with superior customer lifetime value outcomes. The authors note that the choice of approach must be calibrated to the firm's digital maturity and organisational readiness.

Neslin et al. [16] extend this analysis by introducing the concept of "Omnichannel 2.0," which encompasses revised principles for channel strategy in an era of social commerce, live streaming retail, and AI-driven personalisation. The authors argue that firms will require a holistic developmental approach in the coming years. First, channel owners must employ strategic journey mapping to identify control points — moments of maximum influence over purchase decisions. Second, these control points must be prioritised in resource allocation. Third, firms must design organisational systems capable of orchestrating multiple internal and external channel partners. The recommended model bears similarities to the hybrid integration approaches employed by Amazon and JD.com: at the external level, collaboration is encouraged through transparent data sharing and open API ecosystems, while at the internal level, shared KPIs and unified customer identity ensure a single view of the consumer.

The existing literature on B2B and B2C channel partnerships, therefore, addresses the characteristics, advantages, and strategic options primarily from the perspective of large platform owners and established retail chains. Smaller brands and emerging direct-to-consumer firms, in the authors' view, possess sufficient market awareness to achieve beneficial integration, while the specific decision frameworks needed to navigate omnichannel entry — with its requirements for technology investment, organisational change, and data governance — remain underserved in academic research. This article is intended to address that identified gap, as the two sides of any omnichannel partnership have different capabilities, expectations, and risk profiles; the strategies appropriate for a large platform owner are not always appropriate for a smaller brand or a D2C startup entering a channel ecosystem for the first time.

Results

The qualitative synthesis of peer-reviewed literature and practitioner research on omnichannel marketing reveals a consistent set of advantages and disadvantages associated with full channel integration for firms of varying size and digital maturity.

First, omnichannel marketing offers firms substantial advantages in customer retention and acquisition through the intelligent use of cross-channel data. A consumer who is recognised across all touchpoints — whose in-store purchases inform email personalisation, whose app behaviour informs in-store recommendations — demonstrates significantly higher retention rates and basket sizes than single-channel customers. The channel owner in a well-orchestrated ecosystem can provide analytics, technology infrastructure, customer segmentation tools, campaign automation, and ultimately, access to its existing customer base which represents the primary commercial asset of any integrated system.

Second, omnichannel integration enables marketing scalability that is not achievable through independent channel management. The channel owner assumes responsibility for the costs of infrastructure, attribution, and data integration, which reduces per-channel marketing spend while simultaneously expanding reach. Economies of scale in customer data, A/B testing, and campaign deployment are shared across all channel participants.

Third, omnichannel ecosystems are inherently flexible and resilient models. Through shared data architectures, new channel participants — a new brand, a new product category, or a new fulfilment partner — can be onboarded into the interface of a major platform with relatively low friction, immediately accessing the platform's existing customer relationships. The flexibility of digital omnichannel ecosystems is also expressed in the ability to add, modify, or deactivate channel components rapidly in response to shifting consumer preferences. Brands within such ecosystems can develop differentiated content and service experiences and deploy them in response to current business needs.

Among the primary disadvantages of omnichannel integration for smaller or emerging brands is the potential for limited autonomy over customer relationships. When a brand's primary consumer touchpoints are mediated by a third-party platform, data ownership and direct customer communication may be constrained. The authors therefore advocate for explicit contractual provisions governing data access, customer

contact rights, and exit conditions in any omnichannel partnership.

A second disadvantage affects both parties: the more open and undifferentiated the entry into an omnichannel ecosystem, the more difficult it becomes to maintain brand distinctiveness and pricing integrity. This is linked to the financial challenge of sustaining investment in channel integration while simultaneously paying platform fees, technology costs, and co-marketing contributions. A third challenge follows directly from this.

Precisely because platform owners seek to maximise the commercial yield of their ecosystems, they will typically prefer established brands with proven channel performance, documented customer loyalty, and a clear role in the platform's overall value proposition over emerging brands with unproven omnichannel capabilities. This creates a significant entry barrier for smaller firms that are still in the early stages of digital maturity.

To achieve successful integration into an established omnichannel ecosystem, a brand must demonstrate sustained growth — ideally at the late early-growth or expansion stage of its digital maturity curve. A practical example is the trajectory of direct-to-consumer brands such as Glossier, which built a loyal digital community independently before entering wholesale and partnership channels, or Allbirds, which combined e-commerce with selective physical retail and third-party integration in a phased model. In both cases, the brand's prior investment in customer data and community made it a compelling ecosystem partner.

Exceptions exist, but the pattern observed across international omnichannel case studies consistently reflects this tendency. In the authors' view, any brand aspiring to enter an omnichannel ecosystem should ensure that it and/or its product:

— attracts and retains users within the ecosystem through distinct and complementary value;

— is monetisable within the shared service logic — i.e., harmonious with the existing products and services of the ecosystem;

— continuously generates incremental and transformative channel innovations;

— is continuously refined to optimise both customer experience and operational performance within the shared environment.

All of the above theoretical findings are most usefully synthesised in a practical tool for brands and managers who need to navigate this new landscape. The central decision — whether to pursue full channel integration, selective digital augmentation, or an alternative path — is captured in the SWOT matrix presented in Table 1.

Table 1. SWOT Matrix of Omnichannel Marketing Integration

SWOT	O: Opportunities	T: Threats
S: Strengths	**SO: Leveraging strengths. Decision: Full channel convergence (complete integration)** • External resources and shared data infrastructure • Integration with intellectual property and data ownership protections • Accelerated scaling through platform reach • Flexibility and resilience of the integrated ecosystem	**ST: Threat mitigation. Decision: Integration with intellectual property and data ownership protections** • Continuous channel innovation • Access to new and loyal customer segments • Long-term strategic partnership
W: Weaknesses	**WO: Overcoming weaknesses. Decision: Selective integration with co-governance rights and direct consumer data access** • Hierarchical governance by platform owner	**WT: Survival strategy. Decision: Technology partnership / phased digital augmentation without full ecosystem entry** • Exclusion from ecosystem/delisting • Platform or ecosystem discontinuation

	• Open entry = reduced brand margin and reduced pricing power • Restricted autonomy in direct customer communication	• Regulatory intervention (data privacy, antitrust)

Using Table 1, a marketing manager or brand owner can determine the appropriate integration path depending on how the strengths and weaknesses of full omnichannel commitment align with the firm's specific strategic situation. Integration is possible under special conditions negotiated between both parties, or via a phased digital augmentation strategy that builds toward full ecosystem participation. In other cases, a firm may opt for selective technology investment without committing to a single platform ecosystem.

Discussion

The findings presented above might appear, at first glance, to describe familiar dynamics from multichannel retail management, merger strategy, and digital platform economics. It is important, however, to recognise the specific perspective from which the question has been examined here. The existing academic literature on omnichannel marketing has largely described the landscape from the perspective of large platform owners and established retailers — their characteristics, advantages, competitive positioning, and technology roadmaps. This paper foregrounds instead the perspective of the brand or firm seeking to enter or navigate within an established omnichannel ecosystem: a perspective that is, as of the date of publication, insufficiently represented in both academic and practitioner literature.

The capacity to operate across innovation environments, to manage technology partnerships, and to deploy data strategically does not, by itself, guarantee successful omnichannel performance today. Contemporary platform owners and ecosystem managers are interested in brands with proven customer engagement, established data assets, and a demonstrated capacity to enrich the existing ecosystem. An idea, however compelling, is no longer sufficient — what is required is disciplined execution, measurable performance, and a clear articulation of how the brand's customers complement the platform's existing base.

Omnichannel ecosystems are also interesting in that they synthesise all contemporary marketing trends oriented toward deep consumer engagement. Large platform owners seek brands with documented growth trajectories — not nascent propositions — precisely because such brands have already profiled their customers with sufficient precision to demonstrate alignment with the platform's existing segments.

Future research by the authors will examine the quantitative outcomes of omnichannel integration decisions — measuring changes in customer lifetime value, channel revenue attribution, and return on marketing investment before and after full channel convergence — using longitudinal data from integrated retailers and direct-to-consumer brands. At present, the volume of fully integrated omnichannel implementations with sufficient post-integration performance data remains limited, making robust quantitative analysis premature; however, as the field matures, such analysis will be both feasible and necessary.

Conclusion

The research presented in this paper achieves its stated aim of developing a theoretical and practical foundation for both brands seeking omnichannel integration and platform or ecosystem owners who serve as their counterparts. Within the framework of this study, both parties are understood as partners whose relationship is governed by a shared strategic logic rather than a purely transactional one. A marketing manager or brand director who engages seriously with this literature will understand what a platform can legitimately require of an integration partner and what the brand should, in turn, expect in terms of data access, customer relationship rights, and strategic autonomy. A brand and its product must be flexible enough to integrate, yet sufficiently distinctive within the shared service context to contribute

meaningfully to the ecosystem's value proposition. The brand gains access to innovation resources, a scaled customer base, and accelerated growth — while managing the constraint of reduced autonomy over its own channel strategy. Depending on the strategic orientation, integration may proceed on terms negotiated between both parties, or the brand may pursue a phased approach that preserves greater independence while building toward full participation. All findings presented here are intended to develop in managers and researchers a conceptual fluency with the challenges and opportunities of omnichannel marketing as it is actually practised.

References

1. Beck N., Rygl D. Categorization of multiple channel retailing in Multi-, Cross-, and Omni-Channel Retailing for retailers and retailing. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 2015, vol. 27, pp. 170–178.
2. Brynjolfsson E., Hu Y.J., Rahman M.S. Competing in the age of omnichannel retailing. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 2013, vol. 54, no. 4.
3. Cao L., Li L. The impact of cross-channel integration on retailers' sales growth. *Journal of Retailing*, 2015, vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 198–216.
4. Chatterjee P. Drivers of new product recommending and referral behaviour on social network sites. *International Journal of Advertising*, 2011, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 77–101.
5. Herhausen D., Binder J., Schoegel M., Herrmann A. Integrating bricks with clicks: retailer-level and channel-level outcomes of online–offline channel integration. *Journal of Retailing*, 2015, vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 309–325.
6. Juaneda-Ayensa E., Mosquera A., Sierra Murillo Y. Omnichannel customer behavior: key drivers of technology acceptance and use and their effects on purchase intention. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2016, vol. 7, article 1117.
7. Kumar V., Rajan B., Gupta S., Dalla Pozza I. Customer engagement in service. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 2019, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 138–160.
8. Lazaris C., Vrechopoulos A. From multichannel to "omnichannel" retailing: review of the literature and calls for research. 2nd International Conference on Contemporary Marketing Issues (ICCMI), Athens, 2014.
9. Levy M., Weitz B.A., Grewal D. Retailing Management. 9th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Education, 2014.
10. Li Y., Liu H., Lim E.T.K., Goh J.M., Yang F., Lee M.K.O. Customer's reaction to cross-channel integration in omnichannel retailing: the mediating roles of retailer uncertainty, identity attractiveness, and switching costs. *Decision Support Systems*, 2018, vol. 109, pp. 50–60.
11. Neslin S.A., Grewal D., Leghorn R., Shankar V., Teerling M.L., Thomas J.S., Verhoef P.C. Challenges and opportunities in multichannel customer management. *Journal of Service Research*, 2006, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 95–112.
12. Oh L.B., Teo H.H., Sambamurthy V. The effects of retail channel integration through the use of information technologies on firm performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 2012, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 368–381.
13. Piotrowicz W., Cuthbertson R. Introduction to the special issue: information technology in retail — toward omnichannel retailing. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 2014, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 5–16.
14. Rigby D. The future of shopping. *Harvard Business Review*, 2011, vol. 89, no. 12, pp. 65–76.
15. Verhoef P.C., Kannan P.K., Inman J.J. From multi-channel retailing to omni-channel retailing: introduction to the special issue on multi-channel retailing. *Journal of Retailing*, 2015, vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 174–181.
16. Zhang J., Farris P.W., Irvin J.W., Kushwaha T., Steenburgh T.J., Weitz B.A. Crafting integrated multichannel retailing strategies. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 2010, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 168–180.

Corresponding Author:

F. Gohar, Department of Marketing and Business Strategy, University of Management Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan

E-mail: f.gohar@ums.edu.pk

Article History:

Received 12.01.2021, reviewed 15.03.2021, accepted 20.05.2021